COMMUNICATIONS TO THE EDITOR

Thiomarinols D, E, F and G, New Hybrid Antimicrobial Antibiotics Produced by a Marine Bacterium; Isolation, Structure, and Antimicrobial Activity

Sir:

In our previous papers, we reported new antibiotics, thiomarinol A (TMA, 1)¹⁾ and minor components thiomarinols B (TMB, 2) and C (TMC, 3)²⁾, which were isolated from the culture broth of a marine bacterium, *Alteromonas rava* sp. nov. SANK 73390. Thiomarinols A and C are hybrid antibiotics composed of a pseudomonic acid analogue and holothin³⁾. In this paper, we report isolation, structure elucidation and antimicrobial activities of new minor components, thiomarinols D (TMD), E (TME), F (TMF) and G (TMG).

Isolation procedures for TMD (4), TME (5), TMF (6) and TMG (7) were similar to those for TMB and TMC^{2} . TMA was a major product and isolated from EtOAc extracts of the culture broth¹⁾. The residue obtained from the extracts by removing TMA on column chromatography with silica gel contained the minor components, and was used for the source of them. The residue (25 g) was dissolved in MeOH and applied on a cosmosil column (140C18-OPN, nacalai tesque). After washing with 35% CH₃CN, minor components, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were eluted with 40% CH₃CN, followed by concentration in vacuo and lyophilization, respectively. The each fraction was purified with a Sephadex LH-20 column developed with CH_2Cl_2 - EtOAc - MeOH (200: 200: 30 v/v), and finally applied on preparative HPLC using a reverse phase column (Senshu-pak, ODS, H-4251,

Fig. 1. Structures of thiomarinols and acylchromophores.



| | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Molecular formula: | $C_{31}H_{46}N_2O_9S_2$ | C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₂ O ₉ S ₂ | $C_{30}H_{42}N_{2}O_{0}S_{2}$ | C ₁₀ H ₄₄ N ₂ O ₀ S ₂ |
| M.W: | 654 | 668 | 638 | 624 |
| HR FAB-MS $(M + H^+)$: | $C_{31}H_{47}N_2O_9S_2$ | $C_{32}H_{49}N_2O_9S_2$ | $C_{30}H_{43}N_2O_0S_2$ | CaoHasNaO.Sa |
| Found | 655.2723 | 669.2875 | 639.2411 | 625.2601 |
| Calcd. | 655.2723 | 669.2880 | 639.2410 | 625,2618 |
| $[\alpha]_D^{25^\circ}$ (MeOH); | $+1.48^{\circ}$ (c, 0.81) | | -1.66° (c, 0.78) | |
| UV; λ (MeOH), nm (ε): | 210 (20900) | 210 | 210 (21200) | 210 |
| | 300 (2600) | 300 | 300 (2600) | 300 |
| | 385 (8900) | 385 | 385 (8500) | 385 |
| IR; $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (KBr) | 3401, 1651 | | 3408, 1698 | |
| | 1598, 1526 | | 1652, 1598 | |
| | 1457, 1290 | | 1523, 1455 | |
| | 1216, 1155 | | 1290, 1216 | |
| HPLC (Rt, minutes) ^a | 8.7 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 11.2 |

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of thiomarinols D (4), E (5), F (6) and G (7).

^a YMC-pak A-312, 6×150 mm, developing solvent: 40% CH₃CN, 1.5 ml/minute.

 10×250 mm) developed with 40% CH₃CN. 4, 5, 6, and 7 were obtained as 16 mg, 37 mg, 27 mg and 26 mg of yellow powder by lyophilization, respectively.

Physico-chemical properties, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of TMD, TME, TMF and TMG are summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

The UV spectra with maxima at 210, 300 and 385 nm of 4, 5, 6 and 7 were identical with that of 1. Mild alkali hydrolysis of 4, 6 and 7 gave an acylchromophore (8), which was identical with that obtained by the hydrolysis of 1^{1} . These results suggested that the right wing of structure, acylchromophore moieties of 4, 6, and 7 were the same as that of 1. Therefore, the left wing of structure, the monic acid moieties of 1, 4, 6, and 7 must be different from each other. On the other hand, mild alkali hydrolysis of 5 gave a new acylchromophore (9) $\lceil m/z \rangle$: 343 $(M+H^+)$ in FAB-MS], which was deduced as 10-hydroxydecanoylholothin by MS and NMR spectra. The monic acid moiety of 5 was identical with that of 1. Therefore, the structure of 5 was deduced as a thiomarinol A derivative having two more methylenes than thiomarinol A in the acylchromophore part.

Two doublet signals of methyl groups at C-14 and C-17 in the ¹H NMR in 1 were changed to one doublet and one triplet methyl signals in that of 4. Acetylation of 4 with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave a pentaacetate (10). In the DQF-COSY of 10, a methine proton at 4.73 ppm (13-H), shifted to lower field on the acetylation, was coupled with a methine proton at 2.37 ppm (12-H), which was further coupled with a methyl signal at 0.98 ppm (17-CH₃). The methine proton (13-H) was also coupled with a methylene signal at 1.51 ppm (14-H), which was further coupled with methyl signal at 0.85 ppm

| Fable | 2. | ¹³ C NMR | data o | of thio | omarinols | D | (4), | Ε | (5), | F | (6) |
|-------|----|---------------------|--------|---------|-----------|---|------|---|------|---|-----|
| and | G | (7). | | | | | | | | | |

| 4 (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆) | 5 (CD ₃ OD) | 6 (CD ₃ OD) | 7 (CD ₃ OD) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 171.7 (s) | 174.4 (s) | 212.4 (s) | 174.8 (s) |
| 167.8 (s) | 170.4 (s) | 174.3 (s) | 170.5 (s) |
| 166.0 (s) | 168.6 (s) | 170.4 (s) | 168.3 (s) |
| 160.8 (s) | 161.2 (s) | 168.6 (s) | 158.2 (s) |
| 133.9 (d) | 138.9 (s) | 161.1 (s) | 138.0 (s) |
| 133.8 (s) | 135.7 (d) | 137.9 (s) | 137.4 (d) |
| 133.6 (s) | 135.1 (s) | 135.1 (s) | 135.2 (s) |
| 127.6 (d) | 129.8 (d) | 132.5 (d) | 126.2 (d) |
| 115.2 (s) | 116.2 (d) | 132.4 (d) | 118.6 (d) |
| 114.2 (d) | 115.8 (s) | 116.2 (d) | 115.9 (s) |
| 110.4 (d) | 113.6 (d) | 115.8 (s) | 113.6 (d) |
| 76.1 (d) | 77.5 (d) | 113.6 (d) | 72.2 (d) |
| 74.9 (d) | 74.4 (d) | 77.5 (d) | 71.2 (s) |
| 72.3 (d) | 72.1 (d) | 74.3 (d) | 71.1 (d) |
| 69.5 (d) | 71.8 (d) | 71.7 (d) | 70.4 (d) |
| 64.1 (t) | 65.9 (t) | 65.8 (t) | 70.0 (t) |
| 63.8 (d) | 65.7 (d) | 65.6 (d) | 64.8 (t) |
| 62.9 (t) | 64.9 (t) | 64.8 (t) | 47.3 (t) |
| 42.1 (d) | 45.3 (d) | 52.0 (d) | 45.5 (d) |
| 41.7 (d) | 44.9 (d) | 43.7 (d) | 39.8 (t) |
| 34.5 (t) | 36.6 (t) | 36.5 (t) | 37.0 (t) |
| 31.8 (t) | 33.4 (t) | 33.2 (t) | 36.6 (t) |
| 28.3 (t) | 30.4 (t) | 30.0 (t) | 30.1 (t) |
| 28.2 (t) | 30.2 (t)x2 | 29.9 (t) | 30.0 (t) |
| 28.1 (t) | 30.1 (t) | 29.7 (t) | 29.8 (t) |
| 26.8 (t) | 29.8 (t) | 28.1 (q) | 26.9 (t) |
| 25.2 (t) | 27.1 (t) | 26.9 (t) | 26.7 (t) |
| 24.8 (t) | 26.7 (t) | 26.6 (t) | 20.3 (q) |
| 16.9 (q) | 20.3 (q) | 16.4 (q) | 19.3 (q) |
| 15.6 (q) | 16.6 (q) | 16.2 (q) | 16.6 (q) |
| 10.4 (q) | 16.3 (q) | | |

(18-CH₃) as shown in Fig. 2a. The linkages from 2-H* to 12-H of the monic acid part in 10 directly established the same partial structure of 1 as shown in Fig. 2b (*: A long range coupling between 2-H and 4-H as well as

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 7.08 (1H, s) | 7.08 (1H, s) | 7.08 (1H, s) | 7.06 (1H, s) |
| 6.08 (1H, s) | 6.07 (1H, s) | 6.08 (1H, s) | 5.71 (1H, s) |
| 5.45 (2H, m) | 5.46 (2H, m) | 5.63 (1H, m) | 5.54 (1H, dt, J=15.6, 6.6) |
| 4.33 (1H, s) | 4.35 (1H, s) | 5.52 (1H, m) | 5.48 (1H, dt, J=15.6, 7.2) |
| 4.09 (2H, t, J=6.4) | 4.08 (2H, t, J=6.4) | 4.35 (1H, s) | 4.07 (2H, t, J = 6.4) |
| 3.92 (1H, b-s) | 3.92 (1H, b-s) | 4.10 (2H, m) | 3.92 (1H, m) |
| 3.84 (1H, dd, $J = 9.6, 3.2$) | 3.84 (1H, dd, J=9.5, 3.2) | 3.91 (1H, b-s) | 3.73 (1H, t, J=0.5) |
| 3.77 (1H, dd, J = 11.3, 2.5) | 3.78 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 2.5) | 3.85 (1H, dd, J=9.5, 3.0) | 3.61 (1H, m) |
| 3.70 (1H, dd, $J = 9.6$, 1.7) | 3.70 (1H, dd, J=9.5, 1.5) | 3.79 (1H, dd, $J = 11.5$, 2.3) | 3.50 (1H, d, J = 11.2) |
| 3.54 (1H, d, $J = 11.3$) | 3.60 (1H, m) | 3.70 (1H, dd, J=9.5, 1.5) | 3.39 (1H, d, J = 11.2) |
| 3.29 (1H, m) | 3.54 (1H, d, J = 11.4) | 3.50 (1H, d, J = 11.5) | 2.38 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$) |
| 2.40 (2H, t, $J=7.4$) | 2.40 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$) | 3.25 (1H, m) | 2.35 (4H, m) |
| 2.25 (1H, m) | 2.25 (1H, m) | 2.40 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$) | 2.26 (1H, dd, $J = 14.0, 4.4$) |
| 2.15 (2H, m) | 2.17 (2H, m) | 2.28 (1H, m) | 2.15(3H, s) |
| 2.13(3H, s) | 2.14 (3H, s) | 2.18 (1H, m) | 1.66(6H, m) |
| 1.70 (5H, m) | 1.65 (5H, m) | 2.12 (3H, s) $\times 2$ | 1.39(6H, m) |
| 1.40 (8H, b-s) | 1.35 (10H, b-s) | 1.65 (5H, m) | 1.10 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$) |
| 1.01 (3H, d, $J = 6.9$) | 1.09 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$) | 1.40 (6H, b-s) | 1.00 (3H, d, $J = 7.2$) |
| 0.94 (3H, t, $J=7.3$) | 0.98 (3H, d, $J = 6.7$) | 1.11 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | |

Table 3. ¹H NMR data of thiomarinols D (4), E (5), F (6) and G (7) (CH₃OD, 400 MHz).

Fig. 2. Partial structures of thiomarinol D pentaacetate (10).



vicinal couplings was observed). Therefore, the structure of **4** was deduced as 14-homothiomarinol A as shown in Fig. 1.

On comparison of the molecular formulae, **6** was found to have two less protons than **1**. Acetylation of **6** with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave a tetraacetate (**11**) [m/z]: 807 (M+H⁺) in FAB-MS], in contrast to the pentaacetate of **1**. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **6**, a ketone carbonyl appeared at 212.4 ppm, and one of five methine carbons having an oxygen (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7 and C-13) disappeared compared with that of **1**. One of two doublet methyl signals of **1** changed to a singlet methyl signal at 2.12 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum. These facts suggest that **6** is an oxydation compound of the hydroxy group at C-13 in **1**. **6** had the same acylchromophore (**8**) in right wing of the structure as mentioned above. Therefore, the structure of **6** was deduced as 13-ketothiomarinol A as shown in Fig. 1.

TMG (7) and TMC (3) had the same molecular formula and the same acylchromophore (8). On comparison of 13 C NMR spectra of 7 and 3, 7 had one

more quaternary carbon at 71.2 ppm, bearing an oxygen atom and lost one of four methine carbons (C-5, C-6, C-7 and C-13). Acetylation of 7 with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave a triacetate (12) $\lceil m/z : 751 (M + H^+)$ in FAB-MS], in contrast to the tetraacetate of 3. The structure of the monic acid part of 7 was different from that of 3. Partial structures 3a, 3b and 3c of 12 as shown in Fig. 3 were derived from the ¹H-¹H connectivities by DQF-COSY spectra combined with the ¹H-¹³C HMQC spectra. The linkages of the partial structures 3a, 3b and 3c were established from HMBC spectra. Cross peaks from 16-H₂ to C-5, C-7, C-8 and C-9, from 7-H to C-8, and from 9-H to C-8 were observed as shown as arrow lines in Fig. 3. From the above spectral data and biogenetically consideration, the structure of monic acid part of 7 was assumed to be 6-deoxy-8-hydroxymonic acid C as shown in Fig. 1. An 8-hydroxymonic acid derivative was already reported as pseudomonic acid B^{4} .

Therefore, the structures of TMD, E, F, and G were described as 4, 5, 6, and 7 as shown in Fig. 1.

TMD, E, F and G exhibited antimicrobial activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria as shown in Table 4. They were especially active against Gram-positive bacteria including MRSA. Among them, TME showed the most potent activity. It was as active as TMA. TMG showed the least potent activity. The mode of action of pseudomonic acid A is inhibition of isoleucyl-transfer RNA synthetase in bacteria⁵⁾. Thiomarinols also inhibited the same enzyme strongly. TMD, which had a homoisoleucine type structure, as well as TMA, TMB, and psuedomonic acid A, specifically Fig. 3. Partial structures of thiomarinol G triacetate (12).



Table 4. Antimicrobial activities of thiomarinols D (4), E (5), F (6) and G (7).

| | MIC (μ g/ml) | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Test organism | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Staphylococcus aureus 209P JC-1 | ≤0.01 | ≤0.01 | ≤0.01 | ≤0.01 |
| S. aureus 56R | ≤0.01 | ≤0.01 | ≤ 0.01 | ≤0.01 |
| S. aureus 535 (MRSA) | ≤0.01 | ≤0.01 | ≤ 0.01 | ≤0.01 |
| Enterococcus faecalis 681 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Escherichia coli NIHJ JC-2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 6.2 | > 200 |
| E. coli 609 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 50 |
| Salmonella enteritidis | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 25 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae 806 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 12.5 |
| K. pneumoniae 846 (R) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 6.2 |
| Enterobacter cloacae 963 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 12.5 | >200 |
| Serratia marcescens IAM1184 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 25 | >200 |
| Proteus vulgaris 1420 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| Morganella morganii 1510 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100 | >200 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1001 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 12.5 |
| P. aeruginosa No. 7 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 12.5 |
| P. aeruginosa PA01 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 12.5 |

inhibited bacterial isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase, whereas they did not inhibit leucyl, valyl, and phenylalnyl-tRNA synthetases. These inhibitory activities of thiomarinols against the enzymes will be reported in detail elsewhere.

> Hideyuki Shiozawa Atsuko Shimada Shuji Takahashi*

Biomedical Research Laboratories, Sankyo Co., Ltd., 2-58 Hiromachi, 1-chome, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 140, Japan

(Received February 21, 1997)

References

1) Shiozawa, H.; T. Kagasaki, T. Kinoshita, H. Haruyama, H. Domon, Y. Utui, K. Kodama & S. TAKAHASHI: Thiomarinol, a new hybrid antimicrobial antibiotic produced by a marine bacterium. Fermentation, isolation, structure and antimicrobial actibity. J. Antibiotics 46: $1834 \sim 1842$, 1993

- SHIOZAWA, H.; T. KAGASAKI, A. TORIKATA, N. TANAKA, K. FUJIMOTO, T. HATA, Y. FURUKAWA & S. TAKAHASHI: Thiomarinols B and C, new antimicrobial antibiotics produced by a marine bacterium. J. Antibiotics 48: 907~909, 1995
- ETTLINGER, L.; E. GÜUMANN, R. HÜTTER, W. KELLER-SCHIERLEIN, F. KRADOLFER, L. NEIPP, V. PRELOG & H. ZÄHNER: Stoffwechselprodukte von Actinomyceten, Holomycin. Helv. Chim. Acta 42: 563~569, 1959
- CHAIN, E. B. & G. MELLOWS: Pseudomonic acid. Part 3. Structure of pseudomonic acid B. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1977: 318~322, 1977
- HUGHES, J. & G. MELLOWS: Interaction of pseudomonic acid A with *Escherichia coli* B isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochem. J. 191: 209~219, 1980